Effect of LiClO₄ on Radical Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate

MAKIKO SENO, NOBUYUKI MATSUMURA, HIROYUKI NAKAMURA, TSUNEYUKI SATO

Department of Chemical Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering, Tokushima University, Minamijosanjima 2-1, Tokushima 770, Japan

Received 15 April 1996; accepted 11 September 1996

ABSTRACT: The effect of LiClO₄ on the polymerization of methyl methacrylate (MMA) with dimethyl 2,2'-azobisisobutyrate (MAIB) was investigated at 50°C in methyl ethyl ketone. The polymerization proceeded homogeneously even at [LiClO₄] as high as 3.00 mol/L. The polymerization rate (R_p) and the molecular weight of the resulting polymer profoundly increased with increasing [LiClO₄]. R_p at 3.00 mol/L [LiClO₄] was 12 times that in the absence of LiClO₄. The rate equation depended on the presence or absence of LiClO₄: $R_p = k$ [MAIB]^{0.5} [MMA]^{1.5} in the presence of 3.00 mol/L [LiClO₄] and $R_p = k'$ [MAIB]^{0.5} [MMA]^{1.6} in the absence of LiClO₄. The overall activation energies of polymerization were 38.5 kJ/mol in the presence of 3.00 mol/L [LiClO₄] and 77.4 kJ/mol in the absence of LiClO₄. In the copolymerization of MMA and styrene, Q and e values of MMA increased with increasing [LiClO₄], leading to enhanced alternating copolymerizability. © 1997 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci **63**: 1361–1368, 1997

Key words: radical polymerization; copolymerization; methyl methacrylate; Lewis acid effect; $LiClO_4$

INTRODUCTION

The radical polymerizations of acryl monomers such as methyl methacrylate (MMA), methyl acrylate, and acrylonitrile (AN) are reported to be accelerated in the presence of Lewis acids like ZnCl_2 , SnCl_4 , and $\text{AlR}_n\text{Cl}_{3-n}$.¹⁻⁴ Such Lewis acids are also well known to highly affect the reactivities of the acryl monomers in copolymerizations with donor monomers such as styrene (St) and butadiene where alternating copolymers are often formed.^{1,4,5-9}

Bamford et al. found that the presence of LiCl causes acceleration of radical polymerization of AN and an increase in the molecular weight of the resulting polymer.¹⁰ They explained the observed

results in terms of enhanced propagation, the rate constant (k_n) of which was determined by the rotating sector method. In the preceding paper¹¹ we observed a high acceleration effect of LiClO₄ on the radical polymerization of an itaconate ester carrying two oligoethylene oxide chains {di-2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy) ethoxy] ethyl itaconate (DMEI)} where the propagating polymer radical was ESR observable under the practical polymerization conditions. ESR-determineted k_p value increased and the termination rate constant (k_t) decreased with increasing LiClO₄ concentration. It was suggested that LiClO₄ interacts not only with the ether linkages of DMEI but also with the ester carbonyl groups. Mani and colleagues confirmed the interaction of LiCF₃SO₃ with the ester groups of poly(MMA) by means of IR spectroscopy.¹² Casaschi et al. found that Diels-Alder reactions of some α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds used

Correspondence to: T. Sato.

^{© 1997} John Wiley & Sons, Inc. CCC 0021-8995/97/101361-08

as a dienophile in acctone are strongly accelerated in the presence of $\rm LiClO_4.^{13}$

These observations led us to examine the effect of $LiClO_4$ as a Lewis acid on the radical homoand copolymerizations of MMA.

The present article describes the results obtained in the polymerization of MMA and copolymerization of MMA with St at high LiClO₄ concentrations in methyl ethyl ketone (MEK). Dimethyl 2,2'-azobisisobutyrate (MAIB) was used as the initiator.

EXPERIMENTAL

Commercial MMA and St were washed with a 5 wt % aqueous NaOH solution and a 20 wt % aqueous NaCl solution, dried over Na_2SO_4 , and distilled under a reduced pressure before use. MAIB (Wako Pure Chemicals) was recrystallized from methanol. LiClO₄ (guaranteed reagent, Wako Pure Chemicals) was used without further purification. MEK was used after distillation.

Polymerization and copolymerization were carried out with shaking in a degassed and sealed glass tube at a given temperature. The resulting polymers were isolated by pouring the polymerization mixture into a large excess of methanol.

¹H-NMR spectra were obtained with Hitachi R-24B (60 MHz) and JEOL-GX-400 (400 MHz) spectrometers with tetramethylsilane used as an internal standard. Gel permeation chromatograms (GPC) were recorded on a Toso HLC-802A apparatus at 38°C using tetrahydrofuran as eluent. From the GPC results the number-average (\overline{M}_n) and weight-average (\overline{M}_w) molecular weights of the polymers were determined with calibration by poly(St) standards.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of LiClO₄ on Polymerization of MMA with MAIB in MEK

Figure 1 shows time-conversion curves observed in the polymerization of MMA with MAIB at 50°C in MEK at various concentrations of LiClO₄, where the concentrations of MMA and MAIB were 1.00 mol/L and 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L. The polymerization proceeded homogeneously at all the LiClO₄ concentrations used. The polymer yield increased linearly with time without any induction



Figure 1 Time-conversion curves in the polymerization of MMA with MAIB at various $LiClO_4$ concentrations in MEK at 50°C; the numbers in the figure are [$LiClO_4$] in mol/L; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L, [MAIB] = 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L.

period. Thus, the radical polymerization of MMA was found to be highly accelerated in the presence of LiClO₄. The initial polymerization rate (R_p) was estimated from the time-conversion curves and was plotted against the LiClO₄ concentration in Figure 2. R_p increased with increasing [LiClO₄]. The R_p enhancement with LiClO₄ became greater at higher salt concentrations. The R_p value at 3.00 mol/L [LiClO₄] was 12 times that observed in the absence of LiClO₄.

The LiClO₄ acceleration effect can be explained by the following reasons: enhanced propagation due to interaction of the salt with MMA monomer and/or propagating polymer radical, retarded termination due to increased viscosity of the polymerization system containing LiClO₄, and accelerated decomposition of MAIB with LiClO₄.

Figure 3 presents the relationship between the molecular weight of resulting poly(MMA) and the LiClO₄ concentration. \overline{M}_n increased with increasing LiClO₄ concentration. The molecular weight distribution $(\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.6-2.3)$ slightly increased with LiClO₄ concentration. Thus, the observed relationship is similar to that between R_p and the LiClO₄ concentration in Figure 2, indicating that the acceleration by LiClO₄ is caused by



Figure 2 Effect of LiClO₄ concentration on the polymerization rate (R_p) at 50°C in MEK; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L, [MAIB] = 5.00 × 10⁻³ mol/L.

enhanced propagation and/or retarded termination due to the viscosity effect.

Kinetic Study on Polymerization of MMA with MAIB in MEK in Presence of LiClO₄

Temperature effect on the polymerization of MMA with MAIB in MEK was examined at different



Figure 3 Effect of LiClO₄ concentration on the molecular weight (\overline{M}_n) of poly(MMA).



Figure 4 Arrehenius plots of the polymerization rate (R_p) in MEK at different LiClO₄ concentrations; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L, [MAIB] = 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L.

LiClO₄ concentrations. The concentrations of MMA and MAIB were 1.00 mol/L and 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L, respectively. Figure 4 shows the Arrhenious plots of R_p estimated from the time-conversion curves. The slopes of the plots gave the overall activation energies (E_a) of polymerization as shown in Table I. Thus, the lower E_a value was observed at higher LiClO₄ concentration. A similar decrease in the E_a value with the presence of the Lewis acid was also reported for the MMA/ZnCl₂,³ the cyclohexyl acrylate/ZnCl₂,⁴ and the diethyl itaconate/SnCl₄ systems.¹⁴

Effects of the concentrations of monomer and initiator on R_p were studied in the polymerization at 50°C in MEK at 3.00 mol/L [LiClO₄]. Figure 5 shows the relationship between the MAIB concentration and R_p when the MMA concentration

Table I Effect of LiClO₄ on overall Activation Energy of Polymerization (E_a) in Polymerization of MMA with MAIB in MEK

[LiClO ₄] (mol/L)	E_a (kJ/mol)
0 2.00	77.4 55.6
3.00	38.5

 $[MMA] = 1.00 \text{ mol/L}, [MAIB] = 5.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L}.$



log[MAIB] (mol/L)

Figure 5 Dependence of the polymerization rate (R_p) on the MAIB concentration in MEK at 50°C; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L, [LiClO₄] = 3.00 mol/L.

was kept constant at 1.00 mol/L. R_p was found to be proportional to the square root of the initiator concentration, indicating the occurrence of usual bimolecular termination in the presence of LiClO₄.

Figure 6 illustrates the dependence of R_p on the MMA concentration when the MAIB concentration was constant at 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L and the MMA concentration was varied from 0.50-1.25 mol/L. Thus, R_p increased in proportion to the 1.5 power of monomer concentration. Such higher dependence of R_p on the monomer concentration is probably ascribable to increasing viscosity with increasing monomer concentration in the presence of LiClO₄. It is also possible that the propagation is accelerated by enhanced aggregation at the higher MMA concentrations in the presence of LiClO₄.^{11,15}

From the above results, R_p at 3.00 mol/L [LiClO₄] is given by eq. (1),

$$R_p = k[\text{MAIB}]^{0.5} [\text{MMA}]^{1.5}, \qquad (1)$$

in the presence of $LiClO_4$.

The rate equation of polymerization was similarly determined at 50°C in MEK in the absence of LiClO₄. R_p was expressed as an ideal rate equation [eq. (2)].

$$R_p = k' [\text{MAIB}]^{0.5} [\text{MMA}]^{1.0}$$
(2)

in the absence of $LiClO_4$.

Characterization of Poly(MMA) Formed in Presence of LiClO₄

Table II summarizes the molecular weights of poly(MMA)s formed under various polymerization conditions. As described above, the presence of LiClO₄ caused an increase in \overline{M}_n of the resulting poly(MMA). The \overline{M}_n of the polymer increased with increasing monomer concentration and decreased with increasing initiator concentration and ecreased with rising temperature. The $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$ was in the range of 1.4–2.5. These results are similar to those observed in the usual radical polymerization.

Table III compares the tacticities of poly(M-MA)s formed in the absence and presence of $LiClO_4$, which were determined by a ¹H-NMR spectrum of the α -methyl group of poly(MMA). Almost identical results were observed for all the polymers. Thus, even the use of $LiClO_4$ concentration as high as 3.00 mol/L did not significantly



log[MMA] (mol/L)

Figure 6 Dependence of the polymerization rate (R_p) on the MMA concentration in MEK at 50°C; [MAIB] = 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L; [LiClO₄] = 3.00 mol/L.

Temp. (°C)	[MMA] (mol/L)	$[{ m MAIB}] imes 10^3 \ ({ m mol/L})$	[LiClO ₄] (mol/L)	$\overline{M}_n imes 10^{-4}$	$\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$
50	1.00	5.00	0	5 56	16
50	1.00	7 50	0	5.03	2.0
50	1.00	10.0	0	4 78	2.0
50	1.00	12.5	0 0	4.32	2.1
30	1.00	5 00	0	9.61	1.8
40	1.00	5 00	0 0	8 16	1.8
60	1.00	5.00	0	5.41	1.4
50	0.50	5.00	3.00	13.8	2.0
50	0.75	5.00	3.00	18.1	2.3
50	1.00	5.00	3.00	31.1	2.3
50	1.25	5.00	3.00	45.1	2.0
50	1.00	7.50	3.00	28.5	2.2
50	1.00	10.0	3.00	25.1	2.2
50	1.00	12.5	3.00	23.7	2.3
30	1.00	5.00	2.00	19.2	2.2
40	1.00	5.00	2.00	16.2	2.1
50	1.00	5.00	2.00	14.6	2.1
60	1.00	5.00	2.00	8.91	2.0
40	1.00	5.00	3.00	43.6	2.5
60	1.00	5.00	3.00	21.3	2.2

 Table II
 Molecular Weight and Molecular Weight Distribution of Poly(MMA) Formed under

 Different Polymerization Conditions

affect the microstructure of the resultant poly(MMA).

Acceleration Effect of LiClO₄ Discussed on Basis of Degree of Polymerization of Resulting Poly(MMA)

To clarify the reason for the enhanced polymerization in the presence of LiClO₄, we attempted to determine the initiation rate (R_i) and k_t/k_p^2 by using the number-average degree of polymerization (\overline{P}_n) of the resulting poly(MMA).

The reciprocal of \overline{P}_n is related to R_p and [MMA] by eq. (3).

Table III Tacticities of Poly (MMA)s Formed in Polymerization of MMA with MAIB in MEK at 50° C at Different LiClO₄ Concentrations

[LiClO ₄]/[MMA]	mm	mr	rr
0	0.042	0.307	0.651
2	0.033	0.334	0.633
3	0.038	0.322	0.640

 $[\rm MMA]$ = 1.00 mol/L, $[\rm MAIB]$ = 5.00 \times 10 $^{-3}$ mol/L. mm: isotactic; mr: heterotactic; rr: syndiotactic.

 $1/\overline{P}_{n} = C_{m} + C_{s}[\text{MEK}]/[\text{MMA}]$ $+ C_{1}[\text{LiClO}_{4}]/[\text{MMA}]$ $+ C_{i}[\text{MAIB}]/[\text{MMA}]$ $+ k_{t}R_{p}/(k_{p}^{2}[\text{MMA}]^{2}), \quad (3)$

where C_m , C_s , C_1 , and C_i are chain transfer constants of monomer, MEK, LiClO₄, and MAIB, respectively. When the polymerization is performed varying only the MAIB concentration and keeping the concentrations of other components constant, eq. (3) is adequately approximated by eq. (4) because the value of $(C_i[\text{MAIB}])/[\text{MMA}]$ is negligibly small.

$$1/\bar{P}_n = C + k_t R_p / (k_p^2 [\text{MMA}]^2), \qquad (4)$$

where C is a constant.

Figures 7 and 8 show the plots of $1/\bar{P}_n$ against R_p for the polymerizations at 50°C in the absence and presence of LiClO₄ (3.00 mol/L), where [MMA] was 1.00 mol/L. From the slopes of the plots, the k_t/k_p^2 values were estimated and are shown in Table IV. The k_t/k_p^2 value in the absence of LiClO₄ is 80 times larger than that in its presence (3.00 mol/L).



R_ox10⁶(mol/L•s)

Figure 7 Plot of $1/\overline{P_n}$ against the polymerization rate (R_p) in the absence of LiClO₄ in MEK at 50°C; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L.

 R_i is estimated by eq. (5),

$$k_p^2/k_t = R_p^2/(R_i \times [\text{MMA}]^2).$$
 (5)

The R_i values were calculated for the polymer-



Figure 8 Plot of $1/\overline{P_n}$ against the polymerization rate (R_p) in the presence of LiClO₄ in MEK at 50°C; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L, [LiClO₄] = 3.00 mol/L.

Table IV Polymerization Rate (R_p) and Initiation Rate (R_i) in Polymerization of MMA Initiated by MAIB in Presence and Absence of LiClO₄ at 50°C in MEK

[LiClO ₄] (mol/L)	$R_p imes 10^6 \ (ext{mol/L s})$	$R_i imes 10^8 \ (ext{mol/L s})$	k_t/k_p^2 (mol s/L)
0 3.00	9.72 116	$\begin{array}{c} 1.13\\ 2.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$

 $[MMA] = 1.00 \text{ mol/L}, [MAIB] = 5.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L}.$

izations of MMA (1.00 mol/L) with MAIB (5.00 $imes 10^{-3}$ mol/L) at 50°C in the absence and presence of LiClO₄ (3.00 mol/L) and are also presented in Table IV. The decomposition of MAIB is reported to be accelerated in polar solvents.¹⁶ Using the reported rate constants (k_d) of decomposition at 50°C and an initiator efficiency of 0.5, R_i was estimated to be 1.34×10^{-8} mol/L s in acetonitrile¹⁶ ($k_d = 2.68 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and $1.11 imes 10^{-8}$ mol/L s in benzene 16 (k_d = 2.22 imes 10^{-6} s⁻¹), which is comparable to the value $(1.13 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol/L s})$ in the absence of LiClO₄. This indicates that the R_i values thus obtained from $1/\overline{P}_n$ are reasonable. R_i in the presence of $LiClO_4$ (3.00 mol/L) was about 2 times that in its absence. Some Lewis acids are reported to accelerate the decomposition of MAIB.16,17 Although the initiator efficiency (f) of MAIB is expected to be decreased by increased viscosity in the presence of LiClO₄, the enhanced decomposition rate of MAIB may overcome the decrease in *f* value.

As can be seen from Table IV, the observed acceleration effect of LiClO₄ stems predominantly from the marked decrease in the k_t/k_p^2 value.

¹H-NMR Study on Interaction of MMA with LiClO₄

The above results and the reported observations indicate that lithium salts including LiClO_4 interact with carbonyl compounds to affect their reactivities.¹¹⁻¹³ We examined the interaction of MMA with LiClO_4 in MEK at room temperature by means of ¹H-NMR. Signals of $\text{CH}_2=\text{C}$ and CH_3O of MMA, and also of CH_3-CH_2 and CH_3CO of MEK were observed to be shifted downfield. Figure 9 shows the effect of [LiClO_4] on the chemical shifts of these protons. Thus, the downfield shifts increased with increasing [LiClO_4], although a somewhat abrupt change was observed for the



Figure 9 Effect of the $LiClO_4$ concentration on the chemical shift of (a) *cis*-vinyl proton, (b) *trans*-vinyl proton, (c) methoxy protons of MMA, and (d) acetyl protons of MEK at room temperature; [MMA] = 1.00 mol/L.

methoxy and *trans*-vinyl protons near 2.0 mol/L [LiClO₄]. The reason for the abrupt changes is obscure at present. The findings indicate that LiClO₄ can interact with the carbonyl groups of MMA and MEK in the solution of MMA in MEK, although the interactions are not so strong. Such an interaction of MMA with LiClO₄ is partially responsible for the enhanced reactivity of MMA observed above.

Effect of LiClO₄ on Copolymerization of MMA and St with MAIB in MEK

As described earlier $LiClO_4$ was confirmed to interact with MMA. The acceleration effect of

LiClO₄ on the polymerization of MMA seemed to be caused not only by retarded termination but also by enhanced propagation. Diels–Alder reactions of some α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds are reported to be much accelerated by the presence of LiClO₄.¹³ These results led us to the examination of the LiClO₄ effect on the copolymerization of MMA with St.

The copolymerization of MMA (M_1) and St (M_2) with MAIB in MEK at 50°C was carried out at different LiClO₄ concentrations. The copolymer yields were less than 10%. The copolymerization rate and the molecular weight of the copolymer increased with increasing LiClO₄ concentration.

Figure 10 shows the copolymer-composition curves observed at different LiClO₄ concentrations. The composition of copolymers was estimated from the carbon content by elemental analysis. The monomer reactivity ratios were determined by the curve-fitting method based on a nonlinear least squares analysis and are presented in Table V.¹⁸ Table V also shows Qand e values of MMA calculated from the monomer reactivity ratios, where Q = 1.0 and e= -0.8 were used for St. Thus, both of *Q* and *e* values of MMA increased with increasing LiClO₄. As a result, the alternating copolymerizability of MMA and St increased with LiClO₄ concentration. Such a tendency was also reported for some copolymerizations in the presence of ZnCl₂.^{4,19}

These observations are explicable on the basis of the interaction of the lithium ion with the ester carbonyl group of MMA.





Figure 10 Copolymer composition curves for the copolymerization of MMA (M_1) and St (M_2) with MAIB in MEK at 50°C at different LiClO₄ concentrations; [MMA] + [St] = 1.00 mol/L, [MAIB] = 5.00×10^{-3} mol/L, (\bigcirc) [LiClO₄] = 0 mol/L, (\blacksquare) [LiClO₄] = 2.00 mol/L, (\bigcirc) [LiClO₄] = 2.50 mol/L, and (\Box) [LiClO₄] = 3.50 mol/L.

Table V	Parameters Obtained in
Copolym	erization of MMA (M_1) and St (M_2) at
Different	LiClO ₄ Concentrations at 50°C in MEK

[LiClO ₄] (mol/L)	r_1	r_2	Q_1	e_1
0	0.613	0.379	1.003	0.408
2.00	0.471	0.263	1.196	0.645
2.50	0.467	0.188	1.528	0.760
3.50	0.416	0.172	1.586	0.820

 $[MMA] + [St] = 1.00 \text{ mol/L}, [MAIB] = 5.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L}.$

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Barton and E. Borsig, *Complexes in Free-Radical Polymerization*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988.
- V. A. Kavanov, Makromol. Chem., Macromol. Symp., 10/11, 193 (1987).
- M. A. Diab, A. Z. El-Sonbati, H. M. Killa, and M. M. Ghoneim, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 26, 1 (1990).
- D.-J. Liaw and K.-C. Chung, Makromol. Chem., 184, 29 (1983).
- Y. Gotoh, T. Iihara, N. Kanai, N. Toshima, and H. Hirai, Chem. Lett., 2157 (1990).
- J. Krstina, G. Moad, and D. H. Solomon, *Polym. Bull.*, 27, 425 (1992).
- H. Nakamura, M. Seno, H. Tanaka, and T. Sato, Makromol. Chem., 194, 1773 (1993).
- H.-Y. Lee, M. S. Herman, A. B. Padias, H. K. Hall, Jr., and R. D. Tack, *Acta Polym.*, 43, 413 (1994).
- Y. Yuan, H. Song, and G. Xu, Polym. Int., 31, 397 (1993).
- C. H. Bamford, A. D. Jenkins, and R. Johnston, Proc. R. Soc., A241, 364 (1957).
- 11. H. Nakamura, M. Seno, and T. Sato, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem., to appear.
- R. Mani, T. Mani, and J. K. Stevens, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem., 30, 2025 (1992).
- A. Casaschi, G. Desimoni, G. Faita, A. G. Invernizzi, S. Lanati, and P. P. Righetti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115, 8002 (1993).
- T. Sato, H. Nakamura, H. Tanaka, and T. Ota, Makromol. Chem., 192, 2659 (1991).
- P. Pascal, D. H. Napper, R. G. Gilbert, M. C. Piton, and M. A. Winnik, *Macromolecules*, 23, 5161 (1990).
- T. Otsu and B. Yamada, J. Macromol. Sci.-Chem., A3, 187 (1969).
- B. Yamada, H. Kamei, and T. Otsu, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 18, 1917 (1980).
- B. Yamada, M. Itahashi, and T. Otsu, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 16, 1719 (1978).
- S. Masuda, T. Omochi, and T. Ota, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 23, 2081 (1985).